

## VSP Public Comment

---

**From:** wetlands@yolo.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 26, 2005 2:05 PM  
**To:** Secretary of State, Constituent Affairs  
**Cc:** McDannold, Bruce  
**Subject:** Standards for AVVPAT

Should California reject the proposed Diebold system? Vote=Yes

I oppose the Diebold System in its entirety. I am a professional Scientist, and I have been working with all kinds of computer systems since 1967 to present, running complex environmental analysis software. You should listen to my criticism of Diebold and instead REQUIRE OPEN SOURCE (truly secure systems).

NOTHING can make the entire Diebold system secure, and it runs on an operating system which is known to be the LEAST secure OS in use today. Reject Diebold,

REQUIRE OPEN SOURCE based systems, that are open to complete verification by diverse teams of inspectors. Our right to VOTE is worth no less. Diebold is not secure or reliable. Proprietary code can never be verified. Open Source code is tested in public. Often monetary prizes are offered worldwide to mathematicians and coders to try break into verified servers left running for the experiment. Open Source is the ONLY secure way to vote.

Mr. Rod Macdonald  
618 C Street  
Davis, CA 95616

Citizen Proposed Standards:

The AVVPAT shall be printed on single sheet non-thermal at least 16 pound paper, one record of vote per sheet.

Every recorded vote, no matter how recorded, shall have a AVVPAT copy.

The AVVPAT record of the vote shall be printed in a minimum of 12 point font.

The AVVPAT shall be printed and organized to be easily read by both the voter and election officials.

The AVVPAT during the 1% manual audit and any recount shall be physically verified and hand counted only.

The recorded vote choices on the AVVPAT shall not be audited or recounted by automatic or electronic methods.

There shall not be a method by which any particular voting record can be connected to any particular voter.

Any AVVPAT spoiled or rejected by a voter because of a voting system error shall not be counted as a spoiled ballot under the two spoiled ballots limit.

No remote access to voting machines by wireless or internet.